

1 OWEN SEITEL (SBN 137365)
2 Email: owen.seitel@idellseitel.com
3 IDELL & SEITEL LLP
4 465 California Street, Suite 300
5 San Francisco, California 94104
6 Phone: 415-986-2400
7 Fax: 415-392-9259

5 JOSHUA R. MATTHEWS (TO BE ADMITTED *PRO HAC VICE*)
Email: jmatthews@grr.com
6 GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN
270 Madison Avenue
7 New York, New York 10016
Telephone: (212) 684-3900
8 Facsimile: (212) 684-3999

9 || Attorneys for Plaintiff, GREEN RAY LED, LLC

10 CHRISTOPHER DARROW (TO BE ADMITTED *PRO HAC VICE*)
11 Email: darrow@youngbasile.com
12 YOUNG, BASILE, HANLON & MACFARLANE P.C.
3001 W. Big Beaver Road
Suite 624
Troy, MI 48084
13 Telephone: (248) 244-0115
Facsimile: (248) 649-3338

17 Attorneys for Defendant, ALTAIR ENGINEERING, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

GREEN RAY LED, LLC,
Plaintiff

21 v.
22 ALTAIR ENGINEERING, INC.,
23 Defendant

| Case No. C 11-00549 CW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE AND CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
STATEMENT DEADLINE, AND
STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME
FOR DEFENDANT TO FILE AN
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
TO THE COMPLAINT**

Currently Scheduled Conference:

Date: May 24, 2011

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 2 - Fourth Floor

Hon. Claudia Wilken, Presiding

Pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules 6-1(a) and (b) of the Civil Local Rules of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Plaintiff GREEN RAY LED, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Plaintiff”), on the one hand, and Defendant ALTAIR ENGINEERING, INC., a Michigan corporation (“Defendant”), on the other hand, hereby agree and stipulate as set forth below.

This Stipulation and Proposed Order are being submitted pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(b) for the purpose of continuing the Case Management Conference (“CMC”) in this case, which is currently scheduled for May 24, 2011, for thirty (30) days, to June 21, 2011, or an alternative date convenient to the Court, as well as continuing the CMC Statement deadline that is tied to the date of the CMC. Additionally, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), this Stipulation is being submitted for the purpose of giving Defendant an additional thirty (30) days, until June 27, 2011, to file an answer to the Complaint, file any relevant motion (including motions under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure) or counterclaim, or otherwise respond to the Complaint. As set forth in the Recitals below, the parties each believe that good cause exists for the continuation of such CMC and for an extension of time for Defendant to file an answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in light of the present posture of this case, including that the parties are engaged in settlement discussions.

RECITALS

19 WHEREAS, on February 4, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Complaint seeking declaratory
20 judgment against Defendant;

21 WHEREAS, the Complaint was served on Defendant on May 6, 2011;

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2011, the Court ordered (the “CMC Order”) that a Case Management Conference be held on Tuesday, May 24, 2011, at 2:00 p.m.;

WHEREAS, the CMC Order requires that the parties file a joint Case Management Statement no later than May 17, 2011;

26 ||| WHEREAS, Plaintiff has served the CMC Order on Defendant;

27 WHEREAS, the parties are engaged in settlement discussions and believe that additional
28 time may allow them to reach a resolution of their dispute;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant have conferred regarding the Case Management Conference; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant agree and stipulate that a continuance of the CMC and CMC Statement deadline for a period of approximately thirty (30) days, to and until June 21, 2011, or an alternative date convenient to the Court, would be appropriate;

WHEREAS, the parties agree and therefore jointly request that the CMC currently scheduled for May 24, 2011, be rescheduled to Tuesday, June 21, 2011, or an alternative date convenient to the Court, and that the CMC Statement deadline that is tied to the date of the CMC be continued accordingly;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that Defendant should have an additional thirty (30) days, until June 27, 2011, to file an answer to the Complaint, file any relevant motion (including motions under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure) or counterclaim, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

WHEREAS, the requested time modifications would have no substantial effect on the schedule for this case and would encourage settlement;

WHEREAS, there have been no previous time modifications in the case; and

WHEREAS, Rule 6-1 of the Civil Local Rules of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, permits the parties to request a modification of fixed deadlines by stipulation in writing; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(b), a Court Order is required to give effect to this Stipulation with regard to the continuance of the CMC and the deadline to file a joint CMC Statement:

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), no Court Order is required to give effect to this Stipulation with regard to the extension of time given to Defendant to respond to the Complaint;

STIPULATION

NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendant, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. Subject to the Court's calendar, the Case Management Conference in this matter shall be continued to Tuesday, June 21, 2011, or an alternative date convenient to the Court; and

2. The last day to file a joint Case Management Conference Statement shall be seven (7) days prior to the re-set Case Management Conference.

3. Defendant Altair Engineering, Inc. may have until June 27, 2011 to file an answer to the Complaint, to file any relevant motion (including motions under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure) or counterclaim, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

SO STIPULATED.

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.
IDELL & SEITEL LLP

Dated: May 16, 2011

By: /Owen Seitel/
Owen Seitel
Attorneys for Plaintiff GREEN RAY LED, LLC

YOUNG, BASILE, HANLON & MACFARLANE
P.C.

Dated: May 16, 2011

By: /Christopher Darrow/
Christopher Darrow
*Attorneys for Defendant ALTAIR ENGINEERING,
Inc.*

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE

I, Owen Seitel, attest that I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff GREEN RAY LED, LLC, and as the ECF user and filer of this document, I attest that, pursuant to General Order No. 45(X)(B), concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Christopher Darrow, the above signatory.

Dated: May 16, 2011

By: Owen Seitel

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Case Management Conference in this matter shall be continued to

Tuesday, June 21, 2011, at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom 2, 4th Floor, 1301 Clay Street,

1 Oakland, California, 94612;

2 2. The last day to file a supplemental joint case management conference statement
3 shall be Tuesday, June 14, 2011; and

4 3. Plaintiff is directed to serve a copy of this Order at once on all parties to this
5 action in accordance with the provisions of Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
6 Civil Local Rule 5. Following service, the party causing the service shall file a certificate of
7 service with the Clerk of Court.

8 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: 5/19/2011



Hon. Claudia Wilken
Judge of the United States District Court
Northern District of California

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28